

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONE FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/713,389	11/15/2000		John E. Gavlik	P04761	3470	
23990	7590	03/09/2005		· EXAMINER		
DOCKET (P.O. DRAW		0	EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A			
DALLAS,		=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2157	2157	
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/713,389	GAVLIK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Hussein A El-chanti	2157	

5.6 (1 E'') (A 15:6	00/110,000	OAVEIRET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hussein A El-chanti	2157					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the statutory period for reply expires on:	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the 	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. AFFIDAVIT_OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Rostoker does not disclose downloading a control program in a first mode and executing the control program in a second mode. Rostoker teaches a method of downloading a control program on the IRAM in a "write mode" (see col. 28 lines 36-54). After is the download is accomplished, the processor shifts to a processing mode where the processor processes incoming cells according to the control program (see col. 29 lines 5-20) and therefore Rostoker meets the scope of the claimed limitation "downloading a control program in a first mode and executing the control program in a second mode".

POLEURISON